HENRY A. WAXMAN, CALIFORNIA

JOHN D. DINGELL, MICHIGAN CHARMAN EMERITUS
EDWARD J. MARKEY, MASSACHUSETTS
RICK BOUCHER, VIRGINIA
FRANK PALLONE, J.R., NEW JERSEY
BART GORDON, TENNESSEE
BOBBY L. RUSH, ILLINOIS
ANNA G. ESHOO, CALIFORNIA
BART STUPAK, MICHIGAN
ELIOT L. ENGEL, NEW YORK
GENE GREEN, TEXAS
DIANA DEGETTE, COLORADO
VICE CHAIRMAN
LOIS CAPPS, CALIFORNIA
MIKE DOYLE, PENNSYLVANIA
JAN SCHAKOWSKY, ILLINOIS
CHARLES A. GONZALEZ, TEXAS
JAY INSLEE, WASHINGTON
MIKE ROSS, ARKANSAS
ANTHONY D. WEINER, NEW YORK
JIM MATHESON, UTAH
G.K. BUTTERFIELD, NORTH CAROLINA
CHARLE MELANCON, LOUISIANA
JOHN BARROW, GEORGIA
BARON P, HILL, INDIANA
DORIS O. MATSUI, CALIFORNIA
JOHN SARBANES, MARYLAND
CHRISTOPHER MURPHY, CONNECTICUT
ZACHARY T. SPACE, OHIO
BRUCE BRALEY, IOWA
PETER WELCH, VERMONT

ONE HUNDRED ELEVENTH CONGRESS

Congress of the United States Bouse of Representatives

COMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND COMMERCE 2125 RAYBURN HOUSE OFFICE BUILDING WASHINGTON, DC 20515-6115

> MAJORITY (202) 225–2927 FACSIMILE (202) 225–2525 MINORITY (202) 225–3641

energycommerce.house.gov

November 19, 2010

JOE BARTON, TEXAS

ROY BLUNT, MISSOURI DEPUTY RANKING MEMBER
RALPH M. HALL, TEXAS
FRED UPTON, MICHIGAN
CLIFF STEARNS, FLORIDA
ED WHITHELD, KENTUCKY
JOHN SHIMKUS, ILLINOIS
JOHN B. SHADEGG, ARIZONA
STEVE BUYER, INDIANIA
GEORGE RADANOVICH, CALIFORNIA
JOSEPH R. PITTS, PENNSYLVANIA
MARY BONO MACK, CALIFORNIA
LEE TERRY, NEBRASKA
MIKE ROGERS, MICHIGAN
SUE WILKINS MYRICK, NORTH CAROLINA
JOHN SULLIVAN, OKLAHOMA
TIM MURPHY, PENNSYLVANIA
MICHAEL C. BURGESS, TEXAS
MARSHA BLACKBURN, TENNESSEE
PHIL GINGREY, GEORGIA
STEVE SCALISE, LOUISIANA
PARKER GRIFFITH, ALABAMA
ROBERT E. LATTA. OHIO

1806

The Honorable Julius Genachowski Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Chairman Genachowski:

It has been productive to halt activity on network neutrality absent clear direction from Congress. Although we have disagreed with the policy from the beginning, we appreciate the deliberative process you have undertaken. Approximately 300 members of the House and Senate from both sides of the aisle have indicated that the questions raised in the network neutrality rulemaking are better left to Congress.

We understand you are considering circulating a network neutrality order for vote at the December FCC meeting. That is a mistake. President Obama has said he heard the American people's message to Washington loud and clear earlier this month. We must now work together to help bring back jobs. We have much we can do together on matters such as spectrum and universal service reform that will have a significant positive impact on the economy. Reigniting the network neutrality debate will only distract us from that work and further jeopardize investment, innovation, and jobs. We ask you not to circulate such an order.

Sincerely,

Joe Barton

Ranking Member

Cliff Stearns

Ranking Member, Subcommittee on

Communications, Technology, and the Internet

Letter to The Honorable Julius Genachowski November 19, 2010 Page 2

Letter to The Honorable Julius Genachowski November 19, 2010 Page 3

cc:

Commissioner Michael J. Copps Commissioner Robert M. McDowell Commissioner Mignon Clyburn

Commissioner Meredith Attwell Baker

List of Signatories Letter to The Honorable Julius Genachowski November 19, 2010

Page 1

Joe Barton

Cliff Stearns

Page 2

Ralph M. Hall Lee Terry Steve Buyer Mike Rogers Michael C. Burgess Joseph R. Pitts John Sullivan Phil Gingrey Robert Latta

Ed Whitfield
John Shimkus
Fred Upton
Sue Myrick
Marsha Blackburn
Steve Scalise
Tim Murphy
Mary Bono Mack



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Joe Barton U.S. House of Representatives 2109 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barton:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Joe Barton Ranking Member Committee on Energy and Commerce U.S. House of Representatives 2322 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Barton:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Marsha Blackburn U.S. House of Representatives 217 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Blackburn:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Mary Bono Mack U.S. House of Representatives 104 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Bono Mack:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully.



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Michael Burgess U.S. House of Representatives 229 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Burgess:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Steve Buyer U.S. House of Representatives 2230 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Buyer:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully.



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Phil Gingrey U.S. House of Representatives 119 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Gingrey:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Ralph M. Hall U.S. House of Representatives 2405 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Hall:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Robert E. Latta U.S. House of Representatives 1531 Longworth House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Latta:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Tim Murphy U.S. House of Representatives 322 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Murphy:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Sue Myrick U.S. House of Representatives 230 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congresswoman Myrick:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Joseph Pitts U.S. House of Representatives 420 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Pitts:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Mike Rogers U.S. House of Representatives 133 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Rogers:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Steve Scalise U.S. House of Representatives 429 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Scalise:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable John M. Shimkus U.S. House of Representatives 2452 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Shimkus:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Cliff Stearns U.S. House of Representatives 2370 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stearns:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Cliff Stearns
Ranking Member
Subcommittee on Communications, Technology, and the Internet
Committee on Energy and Commerce
U.S. House of Representatives
2370 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Stearns:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully.



December 1, 2010

The Honorable John Sullivan U.S. House of Representatives 434 Cannon House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Sullivan:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Lee Terry U.S. House of Representatives 2331 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Terry:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Ed Whitfield U.S. House of Representatives 2411 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Whitfield:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully,



December 1, 2010

The Honorable Fred Upton U.S. House of Representatives 2183 Rayburn House Office Building Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Congressman Upton:

Thank you for your letter regarding possible action on the Commission's Open Internet proceeding during the December meeting.

I appreciate your acknowledgment of the deliberative process that the Commission initiated to explore the appropriate legal authority for broadband access policy. With regard to your concern about the Commission taking action on Open Internet rules, I am proposing a balanced, light-touch framework for preserving the open Internet as a platform for economic growth, innovation, investment, and free expression – and, as you had previously urged, the proposal would do so without reclassifying broadband as a Title II telecommunications service. I also want to emphasize that any actions the Commission may take to preserve Internet openness are not designed or intended to preclude action by Congress. I continue to believe that an update of the Communications Act could add beneficial clarity and certainty – and I welcome the opportunity for the Commission to serve as a resource to Congress in this regard.

While we do not see eye-to-eye on this particular rulemaking, I look forward to working with you on a broad range of telecommunications issues, including spectrum policy, universal service reform, and the deployment of a public safety wireless broadband network. On many issues, I think common ground can be found to improve America's communications networks, foster private investment and job creation, and help bring the extraordinary benefits of broadband to all Americans.

Respectfully